

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project Title: The 2022 Fisheries Restoration Grant Program's Mitigated Negative Declaration Project in Humboldt, Marin, Monterey, Del Norte, Santa Barbera, San Luis Obispo, Trinity, and Sonoma Counties.

2. Lead Agency Name and Address:

State of California
Department of Fish and Wildlife
Watershed Restoration Grants Branch
P.O. Box 944209
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090

3. Contact People and Phone Numbers:

Timothy Chorey
(916) 838-0760
Watershed Restoration
Grants Branch, Fisheries
Restoration Grant Program
1416 9th Street, Room 1266
Sacramento, CA 95814

Trevor Tollefson
(707) 725-1072
Northern Region
1455 Sandy Prairie Ct.
Suite J
Fortuna, CA 95540

Manfred Kittel
(707) 576-2813
Bay Delta Region
2825 Cordelia Road,
Suite 200
Fairfield, CA 94534

Suzanne Deleon
(831) 649-2945
Central Coast Region
20 Lower Ragsdale Drive,
Suite 100
Monterey, CA 93940

Kyle Evans
(805) 794-9547
Southern Region
1933 Cliff Dr. Ste 9
Santa Barbara, CA
93109

4. Project Location: Various sites in Humboldt, Marin, Monterey, Del Norte, Santa Barbera, San Luis Obispo, and Sonoma Counties (Appendix A).

5. Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Fisheries Restoration Grant Program Headquarters
Watershed Restoration Grants Branch
P.O. Box 944209
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090

6. General Plan Designation: Various

7. Zoning: Various

8. Description of Project: Implementation of 27 action items for restoration of anadromous salmonid habitat (Appendix A). These action items include

measures to improve anadromous fish passage, reduce erosion and sedimentation, enhance instream habitat, improve water quality, and improve juvenile survival.

9. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings: Action items will be surrounded by lands consisting of agriculture, private holdings, forests used for timber production as well as national, state, and county parks.

10. Other Public Agencies Whose Approval Is Required: U.S Army Corps of Engineers, North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, and Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Coastal Commission, and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.

11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.? CDFW reached out to traditionally and culturally affiliated California Native American tribes, if consultation is requested FRGP staff will begin consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1 immediately.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a “Potentially Significant Impact” as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	Energy
<input type="checkbox"/>	Geology / Soils	<input type="checkbox"/>	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazards & Hazardous Materials
<input type="checkbox"/>	Hydrology/Water Quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	Land Use / Planning	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mineral Resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	Noise	<input type="checkbox"/>	Population / Housing	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Transportation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tribal Cultural Resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	Utilities / Service Systems	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wildfire	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mandatory Findings of Significance

This project will not have a “Potential Significant Impact” on any of the environmental factors listed above; therefore, no boxes are checked.

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required

DocuSigned by:


61302E6C807C4EB...

Matt Wells
 Branch Chief, WRGB, CDFW

Issue	Would The Project	Impact
Aesthetics*	a). Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	No Impact
Aesthetics*	b). Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	No Impact
Aesthetics*	c). In nonurbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?	No Impact
Aesthetics*	d). Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	No Impact
Agriculture and Forestry Resources**	a). Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	No Impact
Agriculture and Forestry Resources**	b). Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	No Impact
Agriculture and Forestry Resources**	c). Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?	No Impact
Agriculture and Forestry Resources**	d). Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	No Impact
Agriculture and Forestry Resources**	e). Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural	No Impact

	use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	
Air Quality****	a). Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	No Impact
Air Quality****	b). Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?	Less Than Significant Impact
Air Quality****	C). Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	No Impact
Air Quality****	d). Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?	No Impact
Biological Resources	a). Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Biological Resources	b). Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	Less Than Significant Impact
Biological Resources	c). Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	Less Than Significant Impact
Biological Resources	d). Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	No Impact
Biological Resources	e). Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	No Impact

Biological Resources	f). Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	No Impact
Cultural Resources	a). Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Cultural Resources	b). Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Cultural Resources	c). Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Energy	a). Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?	Less Than Significant Impact
Energy	b). Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?	Less Than Significant Impact
Geology and Soils	a). Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	No Impact
Geology and Soils	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	No Impact
Geology and Soils	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	No Impact
Geology and Soils	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	No Impact
Geology and Soils	iv) Landslides?	No Impact
Geology and Soils	b). Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	Less Than Significant With

		Mitigation Incorporated
Geology and Soils	c). Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	Less Than Significant Impact
Geology and Soils	d). Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?	No Impact
Geology and Soils	e). Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	No Impact
Geology and Soils	f). Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	a). Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	Less Than Significant Impact
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	b). Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	Less Than Significant Impact
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	a). Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	b). Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	c). Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	No Impact
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	d). Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code	No Impact

	§ 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	e). For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?	No Impact
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	f). Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	Less Than Significant Impact
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	g). Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Hydrology and Water Quality	a). Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Hydrology and Water Quality	b). Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?	Less Than Significant Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	c). Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:	No Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	i) result in a substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;	No Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite;	No Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or	No Impact

Hydrology and Water Quality	iv) impede or redirect flood flows?	No Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	d). In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?	No Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	e). Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?	No Impact
Land Use and Planning	a). Physically divide an established community?	No Impact
Land Use and Planning	b). Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	No Impact
Noise	a). Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Noise	b). Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	No Impact
Noise	c). For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	No Impact
Population and Housing	a). Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	No Impact
Population and Housing	b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	No Impact
Public Services	a). Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant	Less Than Significant Impact

	environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	
Public Services	i. Fire protection?	Less Than Significant Impact
Public Services	ii. Police protection?	Less Than Significant Impact
Public Services	iii. Schools?	Less Than Significant Impact
Public Services	iv. Parks?	Less Than Significant Impact
Public Services	v. Other public facilities?	Less Than Significant Impact
Recreation	a). Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	No impact
Recreation	b). Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	No impact
Transportation	a). Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?	No impact
Transportation	b). Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b)?	No impact
Transportation	c). Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	No impact
Transportation	d). Result in inadequate emergency access?	No impact
Tribal and Cultural Resources	a). Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code § 21074 as either a site,	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated

	feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:	
Tribal and Cultural Resources	i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Tribal and Cultural Resources	ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code § 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code § 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Utilities and Service Systems	a). Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?	No impact
Utilities and Service Systems	b). Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during ✓ normal, dry and multiple dry years?	No impact
Utilities and Service Systems	c). Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	No impact

Utilities and Service Systems	d). Generate solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?	No impact
Utilities and Service Systems	e). Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	No impact
Wildfire	a). Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	No impact
Wildfire	b). Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?	No impact
Wildfire	c). Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?	No impact
Wildfire	d). Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?	No impact
Mandatory and Findings of Significance	a). Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated
Mandatory and Findings of Significance	b). Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are	No impact

	considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)	
Mandatory and Findings of Significance	c). Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	No impact

*as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099

** In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board.

**** Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determination